

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,424	HU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DAVID M. BRUNSMAN	1732	

All Participants:

(1) DAVID M. BRUNSMAN.

(2) Charles Cross.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 11am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

M adon et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called to suggest examiner's amendment to avoid potential rejection over Madon et al. Agreed that amendment placing composition in allowable condition could result in withdrawal of restriction requirement and allowance of claims

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/DAVID M BRUNSMAN/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1732

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)